Sewage treatment plants 10 and 11 were constructed in the 1950's and discharge secondary treated effluent to percolation basins adjacent to San Onofre Creek, upstream of potable water wells that serve developments within San Onofre Basin. This condition violates the San Diego Water Quality Control Basin Plan, the State of California Porter Cologne Water Quality Act of 1969, and the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System requirements of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972. As a result of these violations, the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board issued a Cease and Desist Order to MCB Camp Pendleton in January 1989.

Alternatives considered for correcting the conditions cited in the Cease and Desist order included no action, water disposal of effluent, and land disposal of effluent. Water disposal alternatives included construction of an ocean outfall or via live-stream discharge of either secondary- or tertiary-treated effluent. Land disposal alternatives included construction of new percolation basins, leach fields, deep vertical injection wells, biological ponds, discharge to an off-base publicly owned treatment facility, and amendment of the Basin Plan. The Draft **Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS)** identified discharge of secondarytreated effluents to new percolation basins located downstream from existing potable water wells as the preferred alternative. This alternative was identified in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) as the environmentally preferred

A systematic and multi-disciplinary approach was utilized which incorporated criteria based upon technical suitability, economic feasibility, and compliance with applicable environmental regulations. The analysis determined that the preferred alternative is the only alternative that meets all criteria. This alternative is environmentally preferable to the alternatives considered, and all practicable means to avoid or minimize environmental harm have been adopted as identified below and amplified in the Environmental Impact Statement.

Percolation basin construction will involve grading and excavation. A soil erosion control plan will be prepared for project construction. It will include restricting grading and excavation during the rainy season, restricting heavy equipment to existing rights-of-way, installing sediment control measures, and post-construction revegetation.

The California gnatcatcher, a federally listed threatened species, is present near the percolation basin and pipeline sites. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service expressed in their biological opinion that the project is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of this species. Project impacts include a temporary loss of 0.3 acres coastal sage scrub, the preferred habitat of this species, temporary loss of 1.5 acres of valley needlegrass habitat, and temporary loss of 3.0 acres of riparian habitat during construction of the pipeline. A permanent loss of 3.5 acres of coastal sage scrub will occur due to construction of the percolation basins. To mitigate these impacts, the Marine Corps will regrade, replant, and restore the temporarily impacted vegetative communities and will create 2.85 acres of new coastal sage scrub habitat. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service concurs in this mitigation scheme.

In compliance with the biological opinion issued for the project, the Marine Corps will conduct water quality monitoring of areas potentially impacted by possible nutrient loading of coastal waters due to operations of the percolation basins. Should changes in water quality be detected the Marine Corps will consult with the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to implement appropriate measures.

The proposed action will not affect archeological, cultural, or historic resources listed, or determined eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. The California State Historic Preservation Officer concurs with this determination.

Analysis of air emissions that would occur during construction and operation of the percolation ponds determined that these emissions will be below *de minimis* levels and that the project conforms with the State Implementation Plan for air quality.

A Coastal Consistency Determination was prepared for this project and it concluded that the proposed action is being carried out in a manner consistent, to the maximum extent practicable, with the enforceable policies of the California Coastal Management Plan. The California Coastal Commission concurs with this determination.

Preparation of the Environmental Impact Statement began with a public scoping process to identify issues that should be addressed in the document. Involvement in scoping was offered through a combination of documented public announcements and meetings with State of California agencies. Public announcements were handled through

scoping letters sent to Federal, State, and local governmental agencies, citizen groups and associations, and the general public. Also, a Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement was published in local newspapers and the Federal Register. A public scoping meeting was held on October 17, 1991 in Oceanside, California.

The Notice of Availability of the DEIS appeared in the Federal Register on January 13, 1995. The DEIS was distributed to officials of Federal, State and local governmental agencies, citizens groups and associations, public libraries, and to other interested parties. The public review period for the DEIS was from January 13, 1995 through March 6, 1995. Comments received on the DEIS focused on alternative analysis and endangered species issues. The FEIS addressed these comments and was distributed to officials of Federal, State and local governmental agencies. citizens groups and associations, public libraries, and to other interested parties on July 21, 1995. No comments were received on the FEIS.

The Department of the Navy believes that there are no outstanding issues to be resolved with respect to this project. Questions regarding the Environmental Impact Statement prepared for this action may be directed to Mr. Lupe Armas, Assistant Chief of Staff, Environmental Security, MCB Camp Pendleton, CA 92055, telephone (619) 725–4512.

Dated: September 14, 1995.

Duncan Holaday,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy
(Installations and Facilities).

[FR Doc. 95–23279 Filed 9–19–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810–AE–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

## Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed information collection requests.

**SUMMARY:** The Director, Information Resources Group, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 20, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and requests for copies of the proposed information collection requests should be addressed to Patrick J. Sherrill,

Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202–4651, or should be electronic mailed to the internet address #FIRB@ed.gov, or should be faxed to 202–708–9346.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick J. Sherrill (202) 708–8196. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Department of Education (ED) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Director of the Information Resources Group, publishes this notice containing proposed information collection requests at the beginning of the Departmental review of the information collection. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) title; (3) summary of the collection; (4) description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) reporting and/or recordkeeping burden. ED invites public comment at the address specified above. Copies of the requests are available from Patrick J. Sherrill at the address specified above.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department, (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner, (3) is the estimate of burden accurate, (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: September 14, 1995.

Gloria Parker,

Director, Information Resources Group.

Office of Educational Research and Improvement

Type of Review: Reinstatement
Title: Application for the National
Assessment of Educational Progress
Data Reporting Program
Frequency: Annually

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit; Not for Profit institutions; State, Local or Tribal Government Reporting Burden:

Responses: 15
Burden Hours: 360
Recordkeeping Burden:
Recordkeepers: 0
Burden Hours: 0

Abstract: This form will be used by State Educational agencies to apply for funding under the National Assessment of Educational Progress Data Reporting Program. The Department will use the information to make grant awards.

[FR Doc. 95-23237 Filed 9-19-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000-01-M

# Indian Education National Advisory Council; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Advisory Council on Indian Education, Education.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a forthcoming meeting of the National Advisory Council on Indian Education. This notice also describes the functions of the Council. Notice of this meeting is required under section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

**DATE AND TIME:** September 28–29, 1995 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. daily or until the conclusion of business.

ADDRESS: The meeting will be held at the Ramada Inn Old Town, 901 North Fairfax, Alexandria, Virginia, 22314. Telephone: (703) 683–6000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John W. Cheek, Acting Director, National Advisory Council on Indian Education, 600 Independence Avenue S.W., The Portals-Suite 6211, Washington, DC 20202–7556. Telephone: (202) 205–8353.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Advisory Council on Indian Education (NACIE) is established under section 9151 of Title IX, of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended, (20 U.S.C. 7871). The Council is established to, among other things, assist the Secretary

of Education in carrying out responsibilities under this Title and to advise Congress and the Secretary of Education with regard to federal education programs in which Indian children or adults participate or from which they can benefit.

The Chair of the National Advisory Council on Indian Education has called a meeting for Thursday, September 28, and Friday, September 29, 1995. The agenda will include a briefing on the status of Indian Education for the upcoming 1996 fiscal year. Specifically, the budget being proposed for Indian Education Act programs is being drastically reduced with no appropriations designated for all FY 96 discretionary programs and the National Advisory Council on Indian Education. This proposal will have a direct and immediate affect on the quantity and quality of educational services to American Indian and Alaska Native communities nationwide. The purpose of this meeting is to determine the impact this will have on the Office of Indian Education, NACIE and the Department of Education.

The public is being given less than 15 days notice due to problems in

scheduling this meeting.

Records shall be kept of all Council proceedings and are available for public inspection at the office of the National Advisory Council on Indian Education located at 1250 Maryland Avenue S.W., Washington, DC 20202–7556 from the hours of 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, except holidays.

Dated: September 18, 1995.

John W. Cheek,

Acting Director, National Advisory Council on Indian Education.

[FR Doc. 95–23411 Filed 9–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

Notice of Competitive Financial Assistance for the Office of Environment, Safety and Health

**AGENCY:** U. S. Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of competitive financial assistance solicitation.

announces that competitive applications will be solicited for scientific and administrative assistance for the development of a postdoctoral research program in the radiation sciences that will both foster human health effects studies in the national and international programs of the DOE and create a U.S. center of excellence for radiation sciences. Estimated total funding in the